

## A FORMULA OF SIMONS' TYPE AND HYPERSURFACES WITH CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE

KATSUMI NOMIZU & BRIAN SMYTH

In a recent work [8] J. Simons has established a formula for the Laplacian of the second fundamental form of a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold and has obtained an important application in the case of a minimal hypersurface in the sphere, for which the formula takes a rather simple form. The application is made by means of the Laplacian of the function  $f$  on the hypersurface, which is defined to be the square of the length of the second fundamental form.

In the present paper, by a more direct route than Simons' we first obtain the same type of formula (see (16)) in the case of a hypersurface  $M$  immersed with constant mean curvature in a space  $\bar{M}$  of constant sectional curvature, and then derive a new formula (see (18)) for the function  $f$  which involves the sectional curvature of  $M$ . Based on this new formula our main results are the determination of hypersurfaces  $M$  of non-negative sectional curvature immersed in the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$  or the sphere  $S^{n+1}$  with constant mean curvature under the additional assumption that the function  $f$  is constant. This additional assumption is automatically satisfied if  $M$  is compact. We state the general results in a global form assuming completeness of  $M$ , but they are essentially of local nature.

### 1. Formula of Simons' type

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional space form, i.e., a Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature, say,  $c$ . Let  $\phi: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  be an isometric immersion of an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  into  $\bar{M}$ . For simplicity, we say that  $M$  is a hypersurface immersed in  $\bar{M}$  and, for all local formulas and computations, we may consider  $\phi$  as an imbedding and thus identify  $x \in M$  with  $\phi(x) \in \bar{M}$ . The tangent space  $T_x(M)$  is identified with a subspace of the tangent space  $T_x(\bar{M})$ , and the normal space  $T_x^\perp$  is the subspace of  $T_x(\bar{M})$  consisting of all  $X \in T_x(\bar{M})$  which are orthogonal to  $T_x(M)$  with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ . For the basic notations and formulas concerning differential geometry of submanifolds, we follow Chapter VII of Kobayashi-Nomizu [4].

For an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in M$ , we may choose a field of unit normal vectors  $\xi$  defined in a neighborhood  $U$ . The second fundamental form  $h$  and the corresponding symmetric operator  $A$  are defined and related to covariant differentiations  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  in  $\tilde{M}$  and  $M$ , respectively, by the following formulas:

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -AX,$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are vector fields tangent to  $M$ . The Gauss equation is:

$$(3) \quad R(X, Y) = cX \wedge Y + AX \wedge AY, \quad X, Y \in T_x(M),$$

where  $X \wedge Y$  denotes the skew-symmetric endomorphism of  $T_x(M)$  defined by  $(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y$ .

The Codazzi equation is expressed by

$$(4) \quad (\nabla_X A)(Y) = (\nabla_Y A)(X).$$

Since  $\xi$  is defined locally up to a sign, so is  $A$ , and  $A^2$  is thus defined globally on  $M$ . We consider the function  $f = \text{trace } A^2$  which is globally defined on  $M$  and wish to compute its Laplacian  $\Delta f$ . This is given as the trace of the symmetric bilinear form

$$(5) \quad H_f(X, Y) = X(Yf) - (\nabla_X Y)f;$$

in fact,  $H_f$  coincides with the usual Hessian of  $f$  at a critical point of  $f$ . If  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an arbitrary orthonormal basis in  $T_x(M)$ , then

$$(6) \quad (\Delta f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n H_f(e_i, e_i).$$

In order to compute  $\Delta f$ , we need to compute the "restricted" Laplacian of the tensor field  $A$ , which we now explain. Let  $T$  be an arbitrary tensor field of type  $(r, s)$  on  $M$ . Then the second covariant differential  $\nabla^2 T$  is a tensor field of type  $(r, s + 2)$  which is given by

$$(7) \quad (\nabla^2 T)(; Y; X) = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y T) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} T,$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are vector fields on  $M$ . At each point  $x \in M$ , we take an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  in  $T_x(M)$  and set

$$(8) \quad (\Delta' T)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla^2 T)(; e_i; e_i).$$

This is independent of the choice of an orthonormal basis and the tensor field  $\Delta' T$  of type  $(r, s)$  so defined is called the *restricted Laplacian* of  $T$ . When  $T$  is

a function  $f$ ,  $\nabla^2 T$  coincides with  $H_f$  in (5) and  $\Delta' T$  is nothing but  $\Delta f$ . The expression for  $\Delta' T$  in conventional tensor notation is

$$(\Delta' T)_{j_1 \dots j_s}^{i_1 \dots i_r} = \sum_{p,q=1}^n g^{pq} T_{j_1 \dots j_s; p; q}^{i_1 \dots i_r} .$$

If  $T$  is a differential form  $\omega$  of degree  $r$ ,  $\Delta' T$  does *not* coincide with the Laplacian  $\Delta\omega$  as defined in the theory of harmonic integrals; indeed,  $\Delta'\omega$  is part of  $\Delta\omega$ . This accounts for the name of "restricted Laplacian" which we are proposing. (In Simons [8],  $\Delta' T$  is called simply the Laplacian; for results on the restricted Laplacian, see, for example, Lichnerowicz [5; pp. 1-4].)

Going back to the function  $f = \text{trace } A^2$  on the hypersurface  $M$ , we have

$$Yf = Y(\text{trace } A^2) = \text{trace } (\nabla_Y A^2) ,$$

since taking the trace is a contraction on tensor fields of type  $(1, 1)$ , which commutes with covariant differentiation (cf. Kobayashi-Nomizu [3, p. 123]). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } \nabla_Y A^2 &= \text{trace } (\nabla_Y A)A + \text{trace } A(\nabla_Y A) \\ &= 2 \text{trace } (\nabla_Y A)A , \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$Yf = 2 \text{trace } (\nabla_Y A)A .$$

Thus we have

$$XYf = 2 \text{trace } (\nabla_X (\nabla_Y A))A + 2 \text{trace } (\nabla_Y A)(\nabla_X A)$$

as well as

$$(\nabla_X Y)f = 2 \text{trace } (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} A)A .$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} f = \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \text{trace } (\nabla^2 A)(; e_i; e_i)A + \text{trace } (\nabla_{e_i} A)^2 \} ,$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $T_x(M)$ . Thus

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta f = \text{trace } (\Delta' A)A + \sum_{i=1}^n \text{trace } (\nabla_{e_i} A)^2 .$$

By extending the metric  $g$  to the tensor space in the standard fashion, we may write

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f = g(\Delta' A, A) + g(\nabla A, \nabla A) .$$

We shall now compute  $\Delta' A$ . For this purpose, let us write  $K(X, Y)$  for  $(\nabla^2 A)(; Y; X)$  so that

$$K(X, Y) = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y A) - \nabla_{\Gamma_X Y} A.$$

Using the identities  $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] = 0$  and  $R(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$ , where the curvature transformation  $R(X, Y)$  and the other terms are regarded as derivations of the algebra of tensor fields, we obtain

$$(10) \quad K(X, Y) = K(Y, X) + [R(X, Y), A].$$

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis in  $T_x(M)$ , and extend them to vector fields  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  in a neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $\nabla E_i = 0$  at  $x$ . Let  $X$  be a vector field such that  $\nabla X = 0$  at  $x$ . (Such vector fields can be easily obtained by using parallel displacement along each geodesic with origin  $x$ .) In (10) take  $E_i$  and  $X$  instead of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, and apply each endomorphism to  $E_i$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} K(E_i, X)E_i &= (\nabla_{E_i}(\nabla_X A))E_i - (\nabla_{\Gamma_{E_i X}} A)E_i && \text{(the second term is 0 at } x) \\ &= \nabla_{E_i}((\nabla_X A)E_i) - (\nabla_X A)(\nabla_{E_i} E_i) && \text{(the second term is 0 at } x) \\ &= \nabla_{E_i}((\nabla_{E_i} A)X) && \text{(by virtue of Codazzi's equation)} \\ &= (\nabla_{E_i}(\nabla_{E_i} A))X + (\nabla_{E_i} A)(\nabla_{E_i} X) && \text{(the second term is 0 at } x) \\ &= K(E_i, E_i)X, \end{aligned}$$

we get at  $x$

$$(11) \quad K(E_i, E_i)X = K(X, E_i)E_i + [R(E_i, X), A]E_i.$$

By a similar computation we get at  $x$

$$(12) \quad K(X, E_i)E_i = \nabla_X((\nabla_{E_i} A)E_i).$$

We now assume that  $M$  has constant mean curvature, that is, trace  $A = \text{constant}$ . Under this assumption we prove

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{E_i} A)E_i = 0.$$

Indeed, since  $\nabla_{E_i} A$  is a symmetric operator together with  $A$ , we get, by using Codazzi's equation,

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{E_i} A)E_i, Z\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(E_i, (\nabla_{E_i} A)Z) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(E_i, (\nabla_Z A)E_i) \\ &= \text{trace}(\nabla_Z A) = Z \cdot (\text{trace } A) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is valid for an arbitrary vector  $Z$ , we conclude (13). Substituting (13) in (12) we obtain

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n K(X, E_i)E_i = 0.$$

From (11) and (14) we get

$$(15) \quad (A'A)(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n [R(E_i, X), A]E_i.$$

The right-hand side can be computed as follows. By the Gauss equation, we have

$$R(E_i, X) = c(E_i \wedge X) + AE_i \wedge AX.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n R(E_i, X)AE_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n c\{g(AE_i, X)E_i - g(E_i, AE_i)X\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \{g(AE_i, AX)AE_i - g(AE_i, AE_i)AX\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n g(E_i, AE_i) &= \text{trace } A, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n g(AE_i, AE_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(A^2E_i, E_i) = \text{trace } A^2, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n g(AE_i, X)E_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(E_i, AX)E_i = AX, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g(AE_i, AX)AE_i = A \sum_{i=1}^n g(E_i, A^2X)E_i = A(A^2X) = A^3X.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R(E_i, X)AE_i = cAX - c(\text{trace } A)X + A^3X - (\text{trace } A^2)AX.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n AR(E_i, X)E_i = cAX - cnAX + A^3X - (\text{trace } A)A^2X.$$

From these two equations we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [R(E_i, X), A]E_i = ncAX - (\text{trace } A^2)AX \\ - c(\text{trace } A)X + (\text{trace } A)A^2X,$$

that is, (15) gives

$$(16) \quad \Delta' A = ncA - (\text{trace } A^2)A - c(\text{trace } A)I + (\text{trace } A)A^2,$$

where  $I$  is the identity transformation. From (9), we obtain

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f = cn(\text{trace } A^2) - (\text{trace } A^2)^2 - c(\text{trace } A)^2 \\ + (\text{trace } A)(\text{trace } A^3) + g(\nabla A, \nabla A).$$

In particular, if  $M$  is minimal in  $\bar{M}$ , that is,  $\text{trace } A = 0$ , then

$$(16') \quad \Delta' A = ncA - (\text{trace } A^2)A,$$

$$(17') \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f = cnf - f^2 + g(\nabla A, \nabla A),$$

In the case where  $M$  is the unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$  (so that  $c = 1$ ), (16') and (17') are found in Simons [8].

We shall now transform (17) into a form which is convenient for our applications. We first prove

**Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Then, for any constant  $c$ ,*

$$nc \text{tr } A^2 - (\text{tr } A^2)^2 - c(\text{tr } A)^2 + (\text{tr } A)(\text{tr } A^3) = \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 (c + \lambda_i \lambda_j).$$

*Proof.* Since the equality is trivial for  $n = 1$ , assume that it is valid for the degree  $n - 1$ . Then the left-hand side is equal to

$$nc \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 + \lambda_n^2 \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 + \lambda_n^2 \right)^2 \\ - c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i + \lambda_n \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i + \lambda_n \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^3 + \lambda_n^3 \right) \\ = \left\{ c(n-1) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 \right) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 \right)^2 - c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^3 \right) \right\} \\ + \left\{ c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2 \right) - 2c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \right) \lambda_n + c(n-1) \lambda_n^2 \right\} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i^2 \lambda_n - 2\lambda_i^2 \lambda_n^2 + \lambda_i \lambda_n^3).$$

On the above right side the first term is, by inductive assumption, equal to

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 (c + \lambda_i \lambda_j),$$

the second is equal to

$$\sum_{i < n} c(\lambda_i - \lambda_n)^2,$$

and the third is equal to

$$\sum_{i < n} \lambda_i \lambda_n (\lambda_i - \lambda_n)^2.$$

Therefore the whole sum is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 (c + \lambda_i \lambda_j) + \sum_{i < n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_n)^2 (c + \lambda_i \lambda_n) \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 (c + \lambda_i \lambda_j), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Now for each point  $x$  of the hypersurface  $M$ , let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis in  $T_x(M)$  such that  $Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . By the Gauss equation (3) we see that the sectional curvature  $K_{ij}$  for the 2-plane spanned by  $e_i$  and  $e_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , is equal to  $c + \lambda_i \lambda_j$ . Thus (17) can be written as follows:

$$(18) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f = \sum_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 K_{ij} + g(\nabla A, \nabla A).$$

### 2. Main results

Let  $M$  be a connected hypersurface immersed with constant mean curvature in a space form  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $n + 1$  with constant curvature, say,  $c$ . We establish the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $M$  is compact and has non-negative sectional curvature (for all 2-planes), then at every point of  $M$  we have*

$$\nabla A = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 K_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

*In particular, the eigenvalues of  $A$  are constant (where the field of unit normals  $\xi$  is defined).*

*Proof.* By assumption,  $K_{ij} \geq 0$ . From the formula (18) we have  $\Delta f \geq 0$ . Since  $M$  is compact, we conclude that  $f$  is constant and  $\Delta f = 0$  (see, for instance, Yano [10, p. 215] or Kobayashi-Nomizu [4, Note 14]). Thus we get  $\nabla A = 0$  and  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)K_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $M$  has non-negative sectional curvature, and  $f = \text{trace } A^2$  is constant on  $M$ , then we have the same conclusions as Lemma 1.*

*Proof.* This is obvious from the formula (18) itself.

**Lemma 3.** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 1 or Lemma 2, either  $M$  is totally umbilical or  $A$  has exactly two distinct constants as eigenvalues at every point.*

*Proof.* As we already know, the eigenvalues of  $A$  remain constant (in its domain of definition). Thus the set of umbilics is an open set in  $M$ . Since it is obviously a closed set, either  $M$  is totally umbilical or  $M$  has no umbilic. In the second case, we show that  $A$  has at most (hence exactly) two eigenvalues at any point  $x$ . Let  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  at  $x$ . We may assume that  $\lambda_1 > 0$  for the following reason. If  $\lambda_1 \leq 0$ , then  $\lambda_n \leq 0$ . Since  $\lambda_n = 0$  implies  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$  contrary to our premise, we must have  $\lambda_n < 0$ . We may then change the field of unit normals  $\xi$  around  $x$  into  $-\xi$  thus changing  $A$  into  $-A$ , whose largest eigenvalue  $-\lambda_n$  is positive. Having assumed that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  with  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , we have  $K_{12} \geq K_{13} \geq \dots \geq K_{1n}$  and these are all non-negative by assumption. Assume that  $p$  is the largest integer such that  $K_{1p} > 0$  and  $K_{1p+1} = 0$  (set  $p = n$  if  $K_{1n} > 0$ , although we see in a moment that this does not arise). From the second conclusion of Lemma 1 or 2, we get

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)^2 K_{1i} = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq p,$$

which imply that

$$\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p = \lambda, \quad \text{say.}$$

Here  $p \neq n$ , since  $x$  is not an umbilic. In addition we have

$$K_{1p+1} = \dots = K_{1n} = 0,$$

that is,

$$c + \lambda_1 \lambda_{p+1} = \dots = c + \lambda_1 \lambda_n = 0,$$

which imply that

$$\lambda_{p+1} = \dots = \lambda_n = -c/\lambda.$$

This proves our assertion that  $A$  has at most two distinct eigenvalues.

With these preparations we shall now prove our main results.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M$  be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  with non-negative sectional curvature, and  $\phi: M \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature into a Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ . If  $f = \text{trace } A^2$  is constant on  $M$ , then  $\phi(M)$  is of the form  $S^p \times R^{n-p}$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ , where  $R^{n-p}$  is an  $(n-p)$ -dimensional subspace of  $R^{n+1}$ , and  $S^p$  is a sphere in the Euclidean subspace perpendicular to  $R^{n-p}$ . Except for the case  $p = 1$ ,  $\phi$  is an imbedding.*



*Proof.* We first assume that  $M$  is simply connected. By Lemma 3 we know that either  $M$  is totally umbilical or  $A$  has exactly two distinct constant eigenvalues  $\lambda, \mu$ , where  $\lambda \neq 0$  has multiplicity  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq n - 1$ , and  $\mu$  is actually 0 (since  $c = 0$  in the proof of Lemma 3). In the first case, it follows that  $\phi(M)$  is actually a Euclidean hyperplane  $R^n$  or a sphere  $S^n$ , depending on whether  $A$  is 0 or not. Since  $M$  and  $\phi(M)$  are simply connected, we conclude that  $\phi$  is an imbedding (cf. Theorem 4.6, p. 176 of Kobayashi-Nomizu [3]).

In the second case, we can define two distributions

$$T^1(x) = \{x \in T_x(M); AX = \lambda X\},$$

and

$$T^0(x) = \{X \in T_x(M); AX = 0\}$$

of dimensions  $p$  and  $n - p$ , respectively. Knowing that  $\lambda$  is a constant, it is easy to see that both distributions are differentiable, involutive and totally geodesic on  $M$ . Thus  $M$  is the Riemannian direct product  $M^1 \times M^0$ , where  $M^1$  and  $M^0$  are the maximal integral manifolds of  $T^1$  and  $T^0$ , respectively, through a certain point of  $M$ . From this point on, we may use the same arguments as those for Proposition 3 in Nomizu [6] to conclude that  $\phi(M)$  is of the form  $S^p \times R^{n-p}$ . If  $p \geq 2$ , then  $\phi(M)$  is simply connected and we conclude that  $\phi$  is an imbedding. (If  $p = 1$ , then  $M$  may be  $R \times R^{n-1}$  which is immersed onto  $S^1 \times R^{n-1}$  in  $R^{n+1}$ .)

In the general case, let  $\hat{M}$  be the universal covering manifold on  $M$  with the projection  $\pi: \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ . With respect to the naturally induced metric,  $\hat{M}$  and  $\hat{\phi} = \phi \circ \pi$  satisfy the same assumptions as those for  $M$  and  $\phi$ . Thus  $\hat{\phi}(\hat{M}) = \phi(M)$  is of the form  $S^p \times R^{n-p}$ . If  $p \neq 1$ , then  $\hat{\phi}$  is an imbedding and so is  $\phi$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If  $M$  is, in particular, minimal in Theorem 1, then  $\phi(M)$  is a hyperplane and  $\phi$  is an imbedding.*

**Remark 1.** Without completeness of  $M$  the corresponding local versions of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are valid.

**Remark 2.** Theorem 1 may be thought of as a partial extension of a result of Klotz and Osserman [2].

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $M$  be a connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  with non-negative sectional curvature. If  $\phi: M \rightarrow R^{n+1}$  is an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature, then  $\phi(M)$  is a hypersphere and  $\phi$  is an imbedding.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, we know that  $f$  is a constant. Since  $\phi(M)$  is compact, we must have  $p = n$  in the conclusion of Theorem 1.

**Remark.** Corollary 2 is slightly stronger than the classical theorem of Süss [9], where  $M$  is assumed to be a convex hypersurface.

Before we prove our results for hypersurfaces in the unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$  (i.e. the standard model for a space form of dimension  $n + 1$  with constant sectional

curvature 1), we explain a few examples. In  $R^{n+2}$  with usual inner product,  $S^{n+1} = \{x \in R^{n+2}; (x, x) = 1\}$ .

For any unit vector  $a$  and for any  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ , let

$$\Sigma^n = \{x \in S^{n+1}; (x, a) = r\}.$$

When  $r = 0$ ,  $\Sigma^n$  is a *great sphere* in  $S^{n+1}$ . When  $r > 0$ , we call  $\Sigma^n$  a *small sphere* in  $S^{n+1}$ . By elementary computation we find that the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma^n$  as a hypersurface of  $S^{n+1}$  is given by

$$A = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} I \quad (\text{up to a sign}),$$

where  $I$  is the identity transformation. The mean curvature is constant and so is the function  $f = \text{trace } A^2$ . It is known that a totally umbilical hypersurface in  $S^{n+1}$  is locally (globally if it is complete)  $\Sigma^n$ ; in particular, it is a great sphere if it is totally geodesic.

Another example is a product of spheres  $S^p(r) \times S^q(s)$ , where  $p + q = n$  and  $r^2 + s^2 = 1$ . For such  $p, q > 0$ , consider  $R^{n+2}$  as  $R^{p+1} \times R^{q+1}$  and let

$$S^p(r) = \{x \in R^{p+1}; (x, x) = r^2\},$$

$$S^q(s) = \{y \in R^{q+1}; (y, y) = s^2\}.$$

Then

$$S^p(r) \times S^q(s) = \{(x, y) \in R^{n+2}; x \in S^p(r), y \in S^q(s)\}$$

is a hypersurface of  $S^{n+1}$ . The second fundamental form  $A$  has eigenvalues  $s/r$  of multiplicity  $p$  and  $-r/s$  of multiplicity  $q$ . Both the mean curvature and the function  $f$  are constants.  $S^p(r) \times S^q(s)$  is minimal if and only if  $r = \sqrt{p/n}$ .

In particular, consider the case  $n = 2$ . For  $r, s$  such that  $r^2 + s^2 = 1$ ,  $S^1(r) \times S^1(s)$  in  $S^3$  is called a *flat torus*. When  $r = s = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , it is a minimal surface in  $S^3$ .

We now prove

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with non-negative sectional curvature, and  $\phi: M \rightarrow S^{n+1}$  an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature. If  $f = \text{trace } A^2$  is constant on  $M$ , then either*

(1)  *$\phi(M)$  is a great or small sphere in  $S^{n+1}$ , and  $\phi$  is an imbedding;*

*or*

(2)  *$\phi(M)$  is a product of spheres  $S^p(r) \times S^q(s)$ , and for  $p \neq 1, n - 1$ ,  $\phi$  is an imbedding.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $M$  is simply connected. By Lemma 3 we know that either  $M$  is totally umbilical, in which case we get the conclusion (1), or  $A$  has two constants  $\lambda, \mu$  such that  $\lambda\mu = -1$  as the eigenvalues at all points. Let  $p, q$  be the multiplicities of  $\lambda, \mu$  (so that  $p + q = n$ ). It follows that  $M$  is the direct product  $M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $M_1$  is a  $p$ -dimensional space of constant

curvature  $1 + \lambda^2$ , and  $M_2$  is a  $q$ -dimensional space of constant curvature  $1 + \mu^2$ . (We may prove this fact again by considering the distributions of eigenspaces for  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ ; for the detail, see Ryan [7]). If  $p \neq 1$ , then  $M_1 = S^p(r)$  where  $r = 1/\sqrt{1 + \lambda^2}$ . Similarly, if  $q \neq 1$ , then  $M_2 = S^q(s)$  where  $s = 1/\sqrt{1 + \mu^2}$ . Of course,  $r^2 + s^2 = 1$ . If  $p = 1$  or  $q = 1$ , we take  $R^1$  instead of  $S^1(r)$  or  $S^1(s)$ . At any rate, the type number for  $\phi$  (i.e. the rank of  $A$ ) is equal to  $n$  everywhere. Thus if  $n \geq 3$ , the classical rigidity theorem (cf., for example, Ryan [7]) shows that  $\phi(M)$  is the product of spheres  $S^p(r) \times S^q(s)$  in  $S^{n+1}$  and that  $\phi$  is an imbedding unless  $p = 1$  or  $q = 1$ . It remains to show that, for  $n = 2$ ,  $\phi(M)$  is a flat torus. But this can be done by an elementary argument. We have thus proved Theorem 2.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $M$  is, in particular, minimal in Theorem 2, then  $\phi(M)$  is a great sphere or  $S^p(\sqrt{p/n}) \times S^{n-p}(\sqrt{(n-p)/n})$ .*

**Remark.** Without completeness of  $M$ , the corresponding local versions of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 are valid.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $M$  be a connected compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  with non-negative sectional curvature. If  $\phi: M \rightarrow S^{n+1}$  is an isometric immersion with constant mean curvature, then (1) or (2) of Theorem 2 holds.*

The following special case is worth mentioning.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $M$  be a connected compact minimal hypersurface immersed in  $S^{n+1}$ . If  $M$  has positive sectional curvature, then  $M$  is imbedded as a great sphere.*

**Remark.** Corollary 3 is a generalization of a result of Almgren [1] which says that a compact minimal surface of genus 0 in  $S^3$  is a great sphere.

### Bibliography

- [1] F. J. Almgren, Jr., *Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and extensions of Bernstein's theorem*, Ann. of Math. **84** (1966) 277-292.
- [2] T. Klotz & R. Osserman, *Complete surfaces in  $E^3$  with constant mean curvature*, Comment. Math. Helv. **41** (1966/67) 313-318.
- [3] S. Kobayashi & K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1963.
- [4] ———, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. II, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [5] A. Lichnerowicz, *Géométrie des groupes de transformations*, Dunod, Paris, 1958.
- [6] K. Nomizu, *On hypersurfaces satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor*, Tôhoku Math. J. **20** (1968) 46-59.
- [7] P. J. Ryan, *Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces*, Tôhoku Math. J. **21** (1969) 363-388. Thesis, Brown University, 1968.
- [8] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968) 62-105.
- [9] W. Süß, *Zur relativen Differentialgeometrie V*, Tôhoku Math. J. **31** (1929) 202-209.
- [10] K. Yano, *The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1957.

BROWN UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DANE